

Benchmarking in Management of the Electrical Energy Distribution

Jerzy Szkutnik

Częstochowa University of Technology, Poland
szkutnik@el.pcz.czest.pl

Abstract: The report presents the methodology of benchmarking as one of the key tools in management of the sector of energy distribution. It enables better functioning of enterprises due to comparing their functioning in various profiles and drawing conclusions out of these comparisons. The methodology of benchmarking for distribution companies in Poland is used to a very limited extent. However, it is expected that its role in the decision making process in the energy sector will grow significantly. The report describes a method, which enables objective comparative analysis of distribution companies according to the efficiency of energy distribution, taking into account the specificity of each company. Benchmarking by stimulation to decreasing of costs leads to creation of competitiveness of a distribution company

Keywords: : benchmarking, decision making process, distribution efficiency

1 Introduction

The experience of the more developed energy markets proves that existence of market competition brings benefits to all of its participants. An example of success can be the British energy market, where liberalisation proved the theory that market mechanisms lead to decreased energy prices. The results of the introduction of competition in the Polish power energy sector could result only in maintaining prices at the lowest justified level (stable price level, attractive to the economy, enabling domestic and foreign competition), but also better allocation of funds, reduction of costs with improved state of energy safety, reduction of labour costs, optimisation of supply, rationalisation of demand and improved position of the consumer. So, the benefit would be the improved customer service, both for an enterprise and individual receiver. The implementation of the competitive mechanisms in the power energy sector will be the most effective way to force efficiency, where it is only possible. Where it is not possible, efficient regulation should be implemented.

2 Benchmarking in the energy distribution

The benchmarking methodology is commonly used in the European Union and the USA, where it is used practically in all sectors of the economy. In the power energy sector, it is used intensively. Analyses with usage of this tool are aimed at comparison of functioning of the distribution companies. The concept of benchmarking in this field consists in measuring results in the situation where there is no price competition. Benchmarking may consist of simple ratio analysis (unit cost, the share of administrative expenses in total costs) or analysis of more complicated statistical models. The companies have different network and customer structure. Thus, the simple ratios like costs for one kWh or costs for one km of line are not valuable ratios for measuring of efficiency. The method „network size” developed by PA Consulting Group [1] is a method of evaluation of results of the distribution company through association of costs with the total size of the distribution network. Each element of the network is evaluated as a factor generating costs. These factors are converted through weights stemming from the average costs of distribution of the company. In this way one can compare total results of companies having different network structures.

In the model the following items are compared:

- Operating costs of the distribution and transit network up to 150 kV
- Costs of the network depreciation
- Costs of settlement of receivers and customer service

The Faculty of Electrical Engineering of the Technical University of Częstochowa has broad experience in conducting comparative analyses [3]. The methodology of taxonomy analysis based on the Prof. Hellwig method has been used, introducing so called objectivation of definite comparisons. This methodology laid the foundations of software MONITORING, implemented in a dozen of distribution companies in Poland. The software is a helpful tool for the management used for evaluation of the functioning of energy regions of a distribution company [2].

3 Multidimensional analysis of energy losses

The efficiency of the functioning of the network of a distribution company is evaluated on the basis of analysis of percentage loss ratio. However, there are some doubts in case of necessity to compare different distribution companies basing on this ratio. Although it is a relative figure as losses relate to energy introduced to the distribution company, such ratio neglects some structural features, which have impact on its value [5.6, 8,9]. Certain objective correction of

the ratio for each distribution company is required. This is done by the following algorithm [4].

The starting point for analysis is the newly construed ratio – *the reaction ratio*, which was elaborated on the basis of research with usage of software EFEKTROZDZIAŁ – the most recent version of the existing software STRATY`96, commonly used in distribution companies. The reaction ratio defines to which extent energy losses will change if the energy increases by the same value for different network levels. Such ratios are comparable among distribution companies as they contain all attributes necessary for making comparisons. Calculations of the ratios for the representative distribution company had the following results (it was result of large research investigationsral of author by several years – it is personally contribution to knowledge in area of distribution electricity):

- network of 110 kV ; $w_{r110} = 1,073$
- network of medium voltage; $w_{rSN} = 1,680$
- network of low voltage; $w_{rnN} = 2,830$

Based on the analysis you can see the diverse impact of the flowing energy on the ultimate level of losses in the distribution network of a company. These ratios will be used for estimation of the corrected loss ratios for distribution companies, which can be used as a basis for comparison, because they possess all features required for such comparisons [7.8,9]. The data packages need the main information about electricity energy in all levels of network and technical infrastructures (lenght of lines and numbers of substations).

As mentioned earlier, with usage of software STRATY`2002 PLUS one can conduct appropriate calculations and achieve ratios, which will enable comparisons among distribution companies. The following data constitutes an example of results from calculations:

A – Technical losses in low voltage network, [MWh]

B – Technical losses in medium voltage network, [MWh]

C – Technical losses in 110 kV network, [MWh]

D – Total technical losses, [MWh]

$\Delta E_{b\%}$ - Total balance sheet losses, [%]

Co-efficient $\Delta E_{b\%}$ is an ultimate distinguishing feature of the functioning of the network of the distribution company

The corrected loss ratio for the distribution company is as follows:

$$W_{rs} = \left(\frac{C}{D} \cdot w_{r110} + \frac{B}{D} \cdot w_{rSN} + \frac{A}{D} \cdot w_{rnN} \right) \quad (1)$$

where : W_{rs} - the reaction ratio of the distribution company

w_{r110} - reaction ratio of the 110 kV network

w_{rSN} - reaction ratio of the medium voltage network

w_{rnN} - reaction ratio of the low voltage network

$$\Delta E_{bs\%} = \Delta E_{b\%} \cdot \frac{W_{rsu}}{W_{rs}} \quad (2)$$

where : $\Delta E_{bs\%}$ - the corrected energy loss ratio of the distribution company

$\Delta E_{b\%}$ - the original energy loss ratio of the distribution company

W_{rsu} - the average energy loss ratio of the distribution company, calculated as:

$$W_{rsu} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{k=1}^N W_{rsi}}{(N \cdot K)} \quad (3)$$

where: K – number of distribution companies being evaluated

N - number of years of observations, assumed $N = 5$

Below is the analysis of correction of losses in 5 distribution companies.
 The original data is enclosed in Table 1.

Distribution company	$\Delta E_{b\%}$	$\frac{C}{D}$	$\frac{B}{D}$	$\frac{A}{D}$
SD1	14,5	0,15	0,42	0,43
SD2	8,9	0,45	0,35	0,20
SD3	12,1	0,25	0,35	0,40
SD4	10,2	0,30	0,40	0,30
SD5	6,5	0,55	0,30	0,15

Table 1
 Original data for calculation of the corrected loss ratio

The necessity of corrections or objectivation of parameters used in the process of benchmarking has been also underlined by A. Auer [5] – only objects that fulfil requirements of comparisons can be compared.

Figure 1 depicts the results of the conducted research as well as original loss ratios of different distribution companies.




Figure 1
 Original and corrected loss ratios of the distribution companies.

Based on the graph above, it can be stated that the corrected ratios have different values. Generally, correction results in smaller differences between companies characterised by the lowest and highest loss ratios i.e. SD1 and SD5, so:

- original value $\delta = 8\%$
- corrected value $\delta = 4,86\%$

Moreover, it is interesting that average values of the loss ratio before and after correction don't differ much (10,44% and 10,44%), which proves the correctness of the method used for objectivation of the loss ratio.

As presented above, it is possible to objectivate parameters of distribution companies related to general costs as well as costs at particular voltage levels, which leads to effective benchmarking.

However, correction must be preceded by the introduction of new ratios– cost creation ratios, which are defined as follows:

$$W_{kks} = \left(\frac{L_{110}}{L_c} \cdot w_{kk110} + \frac{L_{SN}}{L_c} \cdot w_{kkSN} + \frac{L_{nN}}{L_c} \cdot w_{kknN} \right) \quad (4)$$

where : W_{kks} - cost creation ratio of the distribution company

w_{kk110} - cost creation ratio in the 110 kV network

w_{kkSN} - cost creation ratio in the medium voltage network

w_{kknN} - cost creation ratio in the low voltage network

L_{110} - length of 110 kV network in the area of the distribution company

L_{SN} - length of medium voltage network in the area of the distribution company

L_{nN} - length of low voltage network in the area of the distribution company

L_c - total length network in the area of the distribution company

Cost creation ratios are based on the following formulas:

$$w_{kk110} = \frac{k_{jL110}}{k_u} \quad (5)$$

$$w_{kkSN} = \frac{k_{ST110/SN} \cdot \frac{N_{ST110/SN}}{L_{SN}} + k_{jLSN}}{k_u} \quad (6)$$

$$w_{kknN} = \frac{k_{STSN/nN} \cdot \frac{N_{STSN/nN}}{L_{nN}} + k_{jLnN}}{k_u} \quad (7)$$

where: k_{jL110} - unit cost of construction of the 110 kV line [PLN/km]

k_{jLSN} - unit cost of construction of the medium voltage line [PLN/km]

k_{jLnN} - unit cost of construction of the low voltage line [PLN/km]

$k_{ST110/SN}$ - unit cost of construction of the station 110/medium voltage [PLN/station]

$k_{STSN/nN}$ - unit cost of construction of the station medium/low voltage [PLN/station]

k_u - average unit cost of construction of the distribution network is:

$$k_u = \frac{(k_{jL110} + k_{ST110/SN} \cdot \frac{N_{ST110/SN}}{L_{SN}} + k_{jLSN} + k_{STSN/nN} \cdot \frac{N_{STSN/nN}}{L_{nN}} + k_{jLnN})}{3} \quad (8)$$

The objectivation of the corrected general operating costs is done with usage of the below formula:

$$K_{DSDs} = K_{DSD} \cdot \frac{W_{kks}}{W_{kksu}} \quad (9)$$

where : K_{DSDs} - corrected operating costs of the distribution company

K_{DSD} - original operating costs of the distribution company

W_{kksu} - average cost creation ratio is calculated as:

$$W_{kksu} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^K W_{kksi}}{K} \quad (10)$$

where: K – number of distribution companies being evaluated

Summary

The proposed objectivation methodology of input data for benchmarking analysis enables full reflection of differences among distribution companies. The method gives a possibility to convert the data, both technical and economic, into the comparable analytical platform. Further calculations may be done with usage of DEA methodology [5], Hellwig taxonomy method [3] or basic statistical tool incl. correlation analysis. The benchmarking of distribution companies conducted in such a way may constitute a basis for taking decisions related to both current and future activities of distribution companies. This methodology are used by numerous polish companies of distribution for really evaluation situation in this companies in efficiency area.

References

- [1] Benchmarking is coming PA Consulting Group London 2002
- [2] J. Szkutnik Benchmarking w sektorze dystrybucji energii elektrycznej VI Konferencja PE 2002 Prognozowanie w Elektroenergetyce Prognozowanie, efektywność energetyczna Częstochowa September 2002
- [3] H. Auer .Deriving efficiency scores for the Austrian distribution utilities DistribuTech, EUROPE 2001 Berlin 6-8 November 2001
- [4] Szkutnik J., Benchmarking as one of elements of the creation of the competitiveness of distribution companies XI Międzynarodowa Konferencja Naukowa Aktualne Problemy w Elektroenergetyce, APE`2003 Gdańsk , 2003
- [5] European Energy and Transport Trends to 2030, European Commission, Directorate-General for Energy and Transport, Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, 2003
- [6] Szkutnik J.: Innowacyjność w tworzeniu przewagi konkurencyjnej przedsiębiorstwa dystrybucji energii elektrycznej, w Uwarunkowania budowania konkurencyjności przedsiębiorstw w otoczeniu globalnym, praca zbiorowa pod redakcją Jerzego Bogdaniienki, Marcina Kuzela Iwony Sobczak, Wydawnictwo AdamMarszałek, Toruń 2007/2008, ISBN 978-83-7441-861-4
- [7] Szkutnik J.: Strategiczne cele i efekty zarządzania dystrybucją energii elektrycznej w przedsiębiorstwach energetycznych, Wydawnictwo Politechniki Częstochowskiej, Częstochowa 2006
- [8] Szkutnik J.: The energy efficiency as the necessary element of the planning in the sector of the electrical energy, Proceedings of the 9th International Scientific Conference ELECTRIC POWER ENGINEERING

2008, EPE 2008, May 13-15 2008, Hotel SANTON, Brno. Czech Republic, ISBN 978-80-214-3650-3, pp. 397-400

- [9] Szkutnik J.: Efficiency and Quality in Management of Energy Distribution. The Challenges for Reconversion Innovation – Sustainability-knowledge Management. Edited by Piotr Pachura, Institut Supérieur Industriel Pierrard HEC du Luxembourg VIRTON, Belgium 2006

Management, Enterprise and Benchmarking in the 21st Century
Budapest, 2016